

## THE NATIONAL REPUBLICAN.

Published daily (Sundays excepted) by the  
NATIONAL REPUBLICAN PRINTING COMPANY,  
At 100 and 102 Pennsylvania avenue.

TERMS:  
One copy one year, \$10.00  
One copy one month, \$1.00

Address: NATIONAL REPUBLICAN,  
Washington, D. C.

(Entered in the post-office at Washington, D. C., as  
second-class matter.)

Now is the time to subscribe.

The price of THE NATIONAL REPUBLICAN by mail  
of the country is THREE CENTS for a single copy,  
two cents, for every copy after the first; one month,  
\$1.00; three months, \$2.50; six months, \$4.50;  
one year, \$8.00. In advance. Single copies sent  
free by mail, except on Sunday, when they are  
sent by express.

It is delivered in Washington and the suburbs by carriers  
at the rate of one cent.

There is no better time than the present to subscribe for  
the National, highest, and best newspaper published at  
the National Capital. Send orders to your friends.

The issue of THE WEEKLY NATIONAL REPUBLICAN  
commenced on Thursday, January 1, 1882. It is a hand-  
some double sheet of eight pages, and contains  
the news from all parts of the world, and is  
especially interesting as containing the proceedings of  
the Executive, Legislative and Judicial branches of  
Government, including the appointments, promotions,  
appointments, and dismissals in all departments of  
Government. It contains a full report of every  
important political, personal, and social news and  
of the National Congress.

In short, it is the best and only weekly paper in  
America given, in addition to full general news from  
all parts of the world, a complete photograph of the  
day's events, and a full report of the proceedings of  
the Executive, Legislative and Judicial branches of  
Government. It contains a full report of every  
important political, personal, and social news and  
of the National Congress.

Now is the time to subscribe.

WASHINGTON, D. C., JANUARY 6, 1882.

Population of the National Capital.—180,000.

Amusements To-Night.

NATIONAL THEATRE.—Denham Thompson as  
Joshua Whitcomb.

FOOD'S OPERA-HOUSE.—"Himpy Dumpty."

The first number of THE WEEKLY NATIONAL  
REPUBLICAN was issued yesterday.

It is a handsome double-sheet of fifty-six  
columns, brim-full of news, vigorous edi-  
torials on current topics, army and navy  
doings, literary, scientific, political, per-  
sonal, and social events of the day. A  
large subscription list had accumulated,  
and several thousand copies were mailed  
throughout the country. It is destined to  
reach a larger circulation than any  
newspaper ever issued at the National  
Capital. Copies in wrappers for mailing  
can be had at the business office.

A DOUBLE-SHEET REPUBLICAN of fifty-six  
columns will be issued on Saturday as usual.

Halstead.

The most ridiculous person in American  
newspaperdom is Murat Halstead, the  
editor of the Cincinnati Commercial. He  
is the bawling member of the fraternity.  
He has long employed his barbers to make  
him a "curled darling" of the Nation,  
but the Nation has steadily refused to  
supplement the efforts of the curling  
iron, and the great, fleshy esthetic re-  
mains curled without the darling. For  
personal beauty, and especially for ton-  
sorial adornment, Murat Halstead has no  
superiors, while for sense and truthfulness  
he has few inferiors. The subject of  
this notice has a wild, weird way of at-  
tacking and vilifying Republican par-  
ties, and afterwards, and sought to over-  
whelm him with the fierceness and char-  
acter of his attacks. On the day of  
the nomination a syndicate of able edi-  
tors, including Murat Halstead, Joe  
Medill, George W. Curtis, and some  
others, waited upon some of Mr. Blaine's  
friends and notified them that if he  
should be nominated they would oppose  
his election. If ever a public man was  
hounded and disgracefully persecuted,  
then Murat Halstead hounded and per-  
secuted James G. Blaine. But he forgave  
Mr. Blaine, just as he did General Garfield,  
and now mourns that those who "abused"  
the latter are now abusing the former.  
"They are determined," he says in the  
Commercial, "to associate the Secretary of  
State in every way with the murdered  
President." Halstead was one of those  
journalistic lags who labored industri-  
ously to utilize the assassination of Gen-  
eral Garfield by using it as a weapon with  
which to assassinate the character of the  
then Vice-President. It was his paper  
which printed a pretended dispatch from  
New York, giving a lying account of a  
meeting at General Arthur's residence  
which was never held. The slanderous  
tale was to the effect that Grant, Con-  
kling, Jones, Cameron, Logan, and  
others met there, and amid  
much hilarity administered on the  
dying President's political estate. The  
history of journalism cannot produce  
another as malicious and devilish an at-  
tempt to stain a noble character as this  
wholesale lie, made of whole cloth,  
for the readers of Murat Halstead's  
Commercial newspaper. But when the ed-  
itor came when the king was dead—this  
feather-headed currier made his lowest  
obscenity, and shouted his loudest "long  
live the king" to the saddened man, for  
whom the Presidency could only be a  
crown of thorns, coming to him as it did  
through a monstrous crime.

Halstead calls now for the speedy pub-  
lication of two documents—"Blaine's  
letter setting forth to China and Japan  
that General Grant was not the Emperor  
of the United States, and President Gar-  
field's letter to Grant vindicating the  
Robertson appointment." All of which  
judging from his past, show that he is  
getting ready to make a pilgrimage to  
New York for the purpose of begging the  
privilege of kissing General Grant's toe.

## THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONERS.

The country is to be congratulated that  
the testimony in the Guiteau trial has  
closed. The remaining proceedings can-  
not occupy more than a week. The  
prisoner has been allowed to create much  
disturbance during the progress of the  
case, and can, if permitted, seriously re-  
tard, confuse, and thereby in part neu-  
tralize the argument of the counsel for  
the prosecution. His constitutional  
right to be confronted with the witnesses  
against him almost placed the court at  
his mercy while the testimony was be-  
ing taken. There seemed to be no way  
to compel him to decent behavior and at  
the same time allow him to remain con-  
fronted with the witnesses in the court-  
room. This difficulty is now at an end.  
There are no more witnesses to be ex-  
amined. He is entitled to a public trial.  
This does not necessitate his contin-  
uous presence. He is entitled to the  
assistance of counsel. The Court has al-  
ready informed him that he had a right  
to be heard personally in his own de-  
fense, or by counsel, but that he cannot  
do both, and that, having employed coun-  
sel, he cannot tamper with his functions.  
There is precedent for removing a turbu-  
lent prisoner from the court-room during  
the argument of counsel, and this course  
was sustained by the New York Court of  
Appeals. If Guiteau can be restrained  
from bad behavior during the argument,  
well and good. But if he shall persist in  
cunningly breaking the thread of the  
argument against him by interruptions  
and insults he ought to be excluded from  
the court-room. On Wednesday he  
loudly threatened to slap the month of  
a deputy marshal. From this it  
is but a step to actual assaults upon the  
officers in charge of him, and perhaps  
upon the judge on the bench. The world  
has nothing to lose. The world  
heavily believes that the more outrageous  
he can conduct himself the more likely  
people will be to think him insane. He  
thinks that public opinion is to control  
his case, and vainly imagines that he has  
made an impression by his blasphemous  
stuff about divine inspiration, his hyper-  
critical cant about saving the country,  
and his beastly antics in court during the  
trial. The case should not be concluded  
in the midst of a tumult caused by the  
assassin, but should be relieved from dis-  
order and raised to the plane of grave de-  
liberation, even if, in order to produce  
this condition, the insolent ruffian has to  
be removed from the presence of the court.

Words it not be well to enlarge the  
scope of the Southern  
Republican associations so as to take in  
all the Southern States? In the Virgin-  
ian this is practically done already.  
All who honestly intend to put down  
Bourbonism there find themselves now  
welcomed. If the movement is to spread  
into other States let the initiative be  
taken by the State associations. Let the  
doors be thrown open to all who will  
associate to overthrow the Bourbon rule  
which has cursed the South for half a  
century. Washington is the natural center  
of political thought and activity, and here  
Republicans, Greenbackers, and Read-  
justers may properly unite in a common  
purpose to redeem the fairest portion of  
the continent from the barbarous and  
reactionary class, who have so long pre-  
vented its development and progress.  
Let the associations take this broad and  
liberal ground, and those who think alike  
will act together. The names may be  
appropriately modified to cover all allies  
of the Republican party in this great  
work of nationalizing the South.

THE DIVORCE MARKET.

Two Unhappy Couples Separated, One Ap-  
plication and One Demurrer Filed.

Judge Wiley yesterday granted a divorce  
in the case of George Jones against Elizabeth  
Jones. The parties were married in Monmouth,  
New Jersey, in 1870. The defendant de-  
fended her case, and her husband's defense  
was that she had committed adultery with  
himself. The judge found in favor of the  
defendant, and granted her a divorce.

Another case was heard in the same  
court. The plaintiff was a woman who  
sought a divorce from her husband on  
the ground of adultery. The judge found  
in favor of the plaintiff, and granted her  
a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

## THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONERS.

The country is to be congratulated that  
the testimony in the Guiteau trial has  
closed. The remaining proceedings can-  
not occupy more than a week. The  
prisoner has been allowed to create much  
disturbance during the progress of the  
case, and can, if permitted, seriously re-  
tard, confuse, and thereby in part neu-  
tralize the argument of the counsel for  
the prosecution. His constitutional  
right to be confronted with the witnesses  
against him almost placed the court at  
his mercy while the testimony was be-  
ing taken. There seemed to be no way  
to compel him to decent behavior and at  
the same time allow him to remain con-  
fronted with the witnesses in the court-  
room. This difficulty is now at an end.  
There are no more witnesses to be ex-  
amined. He is entitled to a public trial.  
This does not necessitate his contin-  
uous presence. He is entitled to the  
assistance of counsel. The Court has al-  
ready informed him that he had a right  
to be heard personally in his own de-  
fense, or by counsel, but that he cannot  
do both, and that, having employed coun-  
sel, he cannot tamper with his functions.  
There is precedent for removing a turbu-  
lent prisoner from the court-room during  
the argument of counsel, and this course  
was sustained by the New York Court of  
Appeals. If Guiteau can be restrained  
from bad behavior during the argument,  
well and good. But if he shall persist in  
cunningly breaking the thread of the  
argument against him by interruptions  
and insults he ought to be excluded from  
the court-room. On Wednesday he  
loudly threatened to slap the month of  
a deputy marshal. From this it  
is but a step to actual assaults upon the  
officers in charge of him, and perhaps  
upon the judge on the bench. The world  
has nothing to lose. The world  
heavily believes that the more outrageous  
he can conduct himself the more likely  
people will be to think him insane. He  
thinks that public opinion is to control  
his case, and vainly imagines that he has  
made an impression by his blasphemous  
stuff about divine inspiration, his hyper-  
critical cant about saving the country,  
and his beastly antics in court during the  
trial. The case should not be concluded  
in the midst of a tumult caused by the  
assassin, but should be relieved from dis-  
order and raised to the plane of grave de-  
liberation, even if, in order to produce  
this condition, the insolent ruffian has to  
be removed from the presence of the court.

Words it not be well to enlarge the  
scope of the Southern  
Republican associations so as to take in  
all the Southern States? In the Virgin-  
ian this is practically done already.  
All who honestly intend to put down  
Bourbonism there find themselves now  
welcomed. If the movement is to spread  
into other States let the initiative be  
taken by the State associations. Let the  
doors be thrown open to all who will  
associate to overthrow the Bourbon rule  
which has cursed the South for half a  
century. Washington is the natural center  
of political thought and activity, and here  
Republicans, Greenbackers, and Read-  
justers may properly unite in a common  
purpose to redeem the fairest portion of  
the continent from the barbarous and  
reactionary class, who have so long pre-  
vented its development and progress.  
Let the associations take this broad and  
liberal ground, and those who think alike  
will act together. The names may be  
appropriately modified to cover all allies  
of the Republican party in this great  
work of nationalizing the South.

THE DIVORCE MARKET.

Two Unhappy Couples Separated, One Ap-  
plication and One Demurrer Filed.

Judge Wiley yesterday granted a divorce  
in the case of George Jones against Elizabeth  
Jones. The parties were married in Monmouth,  
New Jersey, in 1870. The defendant de-  
fended her case, and her husband's defense  
was that she had committed adultery with  
himself. The judge found in favor of the  
defendant, and granted her a divorce.

Another case was heard in the same  
court. The plaintiff was a woman who  
sought a divorce from her husband on  
the ground of adultery. The judge found  
in favor of the plaintiff, and granted her  
a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The  
plaintiff sought a divorce on the ground  
of adultery. The judge found in favor of  
the plaintiff, and granted him a divorce.

The judge also granted a divorce in the  
case of a man against a woman. The